

A Framework for Analyzing Exception Flow in Software Architectures

Fernando Castor Filho <u>{fernando}@ic.unicamp.br</u> Patrick Henrique da S. Brito <u>{patrick.silva}@ic.unicamp.br</u>

Cecília Mary F. Rubira <u>{cmrubira}@ic.unicamp.br</u>

IV ICSE Workshop on Architecting Dependable Systems (WADS'2005) St. Louis, MO, US, May 17th 2005



Exception Handling

- Popular mechanism for structuring forward error recovery in software systems
- Exceptions can be derived incrementally at different phases of development:
 - Requirements Related to the business logic
 - Architecture Flow between arch. components
 - Detailed Design Related to data structures
 - Implementation Specific language exceptions

Exception Handling

- Popular mechanism for structuring forward error recovery in software systems
- Exceptions can be derived incrementally at different phases of development:
 - Requirements Related to the business logic
 - Architecture Flow between arch. components
 - Detailed Design Related to data structures
 - Implementation Specific language exceptions

Exceptions at the Architectural Level

- A system's exceptional activity should be addressed since the early phases of development
- In recent years, many approaches combining software architecture and exception handling have been proposed
- There hasn't been much focus on the description of exceptions at the architectural level
 - This focus may be required for systems with strict dependability requirements such as commercial applications, control systems, and so on.

An Air-Traffic Control System Example



... Some Interesting questions...

- What does a double-headed arrow mean?
- What are the exceptions that each component signals and handles?
- Are there any relevant cause-effect relationships?
- Is this analyzable?

Problem

 To describe software architectures so that it is possible to reason about the flow of exceptions at the architectural level

Requirements of the Solution

- 1. Easy to use (pictorial representation)
- 2. Integrated with the concept of architectural style
- 3. Precise (unambiguous)
- 4. Analyzable
- 5. Capable of expressing rules of existing exception handling models

An Architecturel Description Language (ADL)

- ADLs: Notations for describing software architectures
 - Components, connectors, and configurations
- ACME
 - ADL and arch. interchange language
 - Focus on the structure of the system;
 - Constructs for defining architectural styles;
 - Extensible
 - Has mature tool support.
- Requirements (1-4)

A Lightweight Formal Method

- Easy to use
- Support complex data structures
- Alloy design language
 - Similar to Z (less expressive but supports automated analysis)
 - Alloy constraint analyzer (AA)
- Requirements 3-5









Process Supported by Aereal



Process Supported by Aereal



An Example: A Simple Internet Banking System



 Described in ACME:



WADS'2005 - May 17th 2005

Defining Exceptional Styles (1)

- An exceptional style constrains the ways in which exceptions flow between architectural components in a given architectural style
 - Exceptional styles extend SingleExceptionFam, an ACME family provided by Aereal
- Developers can define more than one exceptional style for the same normal style

Defining Exceptional Styles (2)

- Aereal uses Exception Ducts to model exception flow between components
 - Point-to-point links
 - Only for exception flow
 - Orthogonal to "regular" connectors

Defining Exceptional Styles in the Internet Banking System

• Uses a single architectural style: Client and Server

```
import families\SingleExceptionFam.acme;
import families\ClientAndServerFam.acme;
family ExceptionalClientAndServerFam extends SingleExceptionFam,
ClienAndServerFam with {
    Component Type ExceptionalClientT extends ExceptionalComponent with {
        Port catchesPort : CatcherPortT = new CatcherPortT;
        invariant(self.ports == 1);
    }
    Component Type ExceptionalServerT extends ExceptionalComponent with {
        Port signalsPort : SignalerPortT = new SignalerPortT;
        invariant(self.ports == 1);
    }
    Connector Type ExceptionalCSConnT extends ExceptionalConnector with {...}
}
```

Specifying the Exception Flow View (1)

- The exception flow view is a *Components-and-Connectors* view that represents exceptions at the architectural level
 - A component/exception duct can raise, signal, catch, handle, and propagate exceptions
 - This is represented by assigning values to ACME properties

Specifying the Exception Flow View (2)

- The exception flow view uses one or more exceptional styles
- If exceptions flow between two arch.
 components, an exception duct is introduced between these components
 - The type of exception duct depends on the styles to which the components adhere

Exception Flow View of the Internet Banking System



Exception Flow View of the Internet Banking System

```
import families\ExceptionalClientAndServerFam.acme;
System ExceptionalNetbanking:ExceptionalClientAndServerFam=
   new ExceptionalClientAndServerFam extended with {
 Component InternetBankingServer : ExceptionalServerT =
      new ExceptionalServerT extended with {
   Port signalsPort : SignalerPortT = new SignalerPortT extended with {
    Property raises : Set{} = {RequestNotProcessedException};
    Property signals : Set{} = {RequestNotProcessedException};
   };
 };
 Connector ExceptionalCSConnT0 : ExceptionalCSConnT =
      new ExceptionalCSConnT extended with {
   Property catches : Set{} = {RequestNotProcessedException};
   Property signals : Set{} = {RemoteException};
   Property exceptionMappingFrom : Sequence<> = < RequestNotProcessedException >;
   Property exceptionMappingTo : Sequence<> = < RemoteException >;
};
```

Composing Exception Flow View and Architectural Description

Aereal performs the composition automatically



Analyzing Structural Constraints and Exception Flow

- The Armani constraint solver is used to check for violations of structural (exceptional stylerelated) constraints
 - Violations result in error messages
- Extended arch. descriptions are translated to Alloy and the Alloy Analyzer is used to analyze exception flow
 - User can specify rules of the assumed exception handling model
 - Violations of these rules result in counter-examples

An Example Counter-Example



WADS'2005 - May 17th 2005

Future Directions

- Assessment of Aereal:
 - Representing different modeling approaches involving multiple architectural styles
 - Describing rules of existing exception handling systems, some of which were already specified:
 - explicit exception propagation;
 - detection of exception subsumption;
- Extend the implementation of Aereal in order to automatically compute the sets of exceptions that are caught and signaled

Thank You!

Contact information:

Patrick Henrique da S. Brito – {patrick.silva}@ic.unicamp.br

WADS'2005 - May 17th 2005