

Self-Healing vs. Fault Tolerance

Phil Koopman
Carnegie Mellon University

WADS, May 2003



Overview

- x **Perhaps this isn't even the right question**
 - But people are going to ask it anyway

- x **Is *some* Fault Tolerance also Self Healing? – Yes**

- x **Is *all* FT also Self Healing – No**

- x **Is *all* Self Healing also FT – Maybe**
 - Assume “yes” until proven otherwise?

Is This Even The Right Question?

- x **“Fault Tolerance” is an emergent property**
 - Systems are fault tolerant (or not), to varying degrees
 - It is perhaps a measurable property
 - Fault injection experiments to see which faults can really be tolerated
 - But this is a difficult area

- x **“Self Healing” seems like an approach (or point of view)**
 - What is an “injury”, and what isn’t?
 - Are there unifying themes to “self-healing”
 - Are there self-healing outcomes that are *not* fault tolerance?
 - (That are *not* dependability?)

 - BTW, can we measure “healability?”

Is Some Fault Tolerance *also* Self Healing?

Bouricius, W.G., Carter, W.C. & Schneider, P.R,

“Reliability modeling techniques for self-repairing computer systems,”

Proceedings of 24th National Conference, ACM, 1969, pp. 395-309.

x **An early self-healing idea: Standby sparing**

- One or more operating units
- Pool of reserve units
- When one unit breaks, standby spare used to replace an operating unit
- If that isn't healing, then we need a tighter definition of “healing”

x **What about Byzantine Generals algorithms?**

- They take data sets with arbitrary defects and produce a clean output

x **What about error correcting codes?**

Is *All* FT Really Self Healing?

- x **Many FT techniques are probably not self healing**
 - Using highly reliable components (bullet-proof vests are not “healing”)
 - Fail-fast, fail-silent components (component suicide is not “healing”)
 - But, such components can facilitate healing at the system level

- x **Emphasis might be different**
 - Fault tolerance tends to emphasize 100% functionality (does self-healing?)
 - But, much of FT is arguably self healing

Is *All* Self Healing Really FT?

x **Narrow question: historical FT research**

- Things like incomplete systems and human+computer systems are not emphasized
- Someone could draw up a research area map based on DSN papers ... but is there a point to that?

x **Broad question: could it be FT research**

- Probably yes – I do “graceful degradation” and I’m from the FT community

x **Broadest question: is it all “dependability”**

- The definition of dependability grows over time
- “Dependability” has recently come to include security
- Probably it is all “dependability;

But the question I care about is research community interactions, not turf battles