



Middleware Reliability Implementations and Connector Wrappers

Authors: Jesse Sowell and Kurt Stirewalt

Presented by: Jesse Sowell

Overview

- **Context:** Adding new features to distributed applications by extending middleware
 - Behavioural reflection [FaPe'98]
 - Selective behavioural reflection [Yan+'02]
 - *Feature-oriented* design [Ren+'98]
 - *Feature* a product characteristic used in distinguishing programs in a family [BaSR'03]
- **Problem:** Evaluating feature decompositions
- **Hypothesis:** *Connector wrappers* [SpGa'03] useful for evaluating feature decomposition

Feature Composition in Theseus

- **Theseus:** framework for asynchronous request—reply communication
- Framework component = composable feature
 - Theory: roles/collaborations [VaNo'96,BaOM'92]
 - E.g. transport, FIFO vs. prioritized scheduling
- **Extensions:**
 - Reliability, e.g., retry, bounded retry, failover
 - Implemented as *wrappers*

Connectors and Wrappers

- **Given:** In software architecture, middleware functionality modelled by *connectors*
 - Distributed systems implement components
 - Middleware connectors guide their interaction
 - Formalized in CSP [AlGa'97]
 - Configuration = composition of components & connector
- **Given:** *Connector wrappers* a principled basis for creating and understanding wrappers [SpGa'03]
- **Key Idea:** Use connector wrappers to evaluate design of Theseus extensions

Enabling Evaluation

- **Idea:** Establish correspondence between connector wrappers and features, e.g.,
 - parallel composition = collaboration synthesis
 - action = operation invocation
- **What we did:**
 - Formalized Theseus core as a connector
 - Checked that connector wrappers compose
 - Refactored Theseus' reliability extensions
 - Made them “traceable” to connector wrappers
 - Evaluated implementation against specification

Details of Our Evaluation

- **Given:** Connector specification, implementation, connector wrapper, feature implementation
- **Procedure:**
 1. Establish traceability relationship T
 2. Compose wrapper with core
 3. Simultaneously interpreting spec and code
 - Use T to check conformance
 - Designer decides sufficiency
 - Results:
 - Refactoring for traceability helped improve design of both the core and reliability features
 - Process suggested further feature composition

Conclusions

- **Benefits:** Evaluation has potential for:
 - Validating/improving implementation
 - Discovering more effective decompositions
- Currently this is done by hand
- **Future Work:**
 - Perform additional case studies
 - Techniques and tools to:
 - Automate evaluation
 - Generate configuration code from specifications
 - Analyze configurations