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Motivation

SOA is emerging as a paradigm for achieving maximum reuse and minimum redundancy of services via complex, multi-platform distributed environments.

SOA’s are being considered embedded real-time systems

Real-time embedded systems have the following QoS of interest:

- Performance goals (latency requirements, throughput)
- Dependability, fault tolerance
- Efficient use of resources (e.g. service, power)

How can SOA’s be analyzed across multiple Quality of Service (QoS) attributes early and throughout the lifecycle to ensure quality attributes are achievable?

• Predictability through design
Outline

Themes: Architecture modeling, evaluation, Device Profile for Web Services (DPWS) as an example

This talk presents an model-base approach that analysis results

- Device Profile for Web Services – the concepts
- Architecture Analysis and Design Language (AADL) overview
- Modeling example: DPWS architecture – automobile navigation system
- Perspectives of DPWS modeling – logical communication, data flow, thread representation, cpu binding/resource utilization.
Device Profile for Web Services (DPWS)

DPWS specification that allows clients to discover services that when used together, can allow the client to perform a computational task.

DPWS enables:

- Description of web services
- Dynamic discovery of service
- Receiving and subscription to a (web) service
- Sending secure messages to/from a (web) service
Analysis Perspectives of SOA

Performance

- **Latency**
  - Service establishment
  - Timely response from services (hard, soft real-time)

Resource Consumption

- Communication bandwidth
- **CPU utilization – resource mapping**
- Power Consumption

Dependability

- Fault detection – enumerate fault type
- Redundancy – show replication, control mechanisms
- Modal operation – degraded operational and failover state
AADL Overview
The Architecture Analysis and Design Language (AADL)

It is an SAE Avionics Standard- AS-5506

A formal modeling language for describing software and hardware system architecture

Based on the component-connector paradigm

Textual and graphical notations that allows for:

- Precise execution semantics for modeling of hardware and software components & interactions
  - Thread, process, data, subprogram, system, processor, memory, bus, device, abstract component, virtual processor, virtual bus

- Continuous control & event response processing
  - Data and event flow, synchronous call/return, shared access
  - End-to-End flow specifications

- Operational modes & fault tolerant configurations
  - Modes & mode transition

Core Standard (AS5506) published 2004, Annexes 2006, V2 currently being balloted

- www.aadl.info
Overview of AADL Language Application Components

Components and their descriptions used in *our modeling*:

- **System**: hierarchical organization of components
- **Thread**: a schedulable unit of concurrent execution
- **Ports**: directional transfer of data & control
- **Process**: protected address space
- **Data**: potentially sharable data
Well-Defined Architecture Execution Semantics

Thread Example – precise specification

- Nominal execution entry point
- Fault handling entry point
- Resource locking
- Mode switching
- Initialization entry point
- Finalization exit point
Overview of AADL language-Hardware Components

- Processor – provides thread scheduling and execution services
  ![Processor](image)
- Bus – provides physical connectivity between execution platform components
  ![Bus](image)
- Memory – provides storage for data and source code
  ![Memory](image)
- Device – interface to external environment
  ![Device](image)
Model-based Engineering
A holistic approach provides insight via architectural analysis
Model-based Engineering: Single-Model, Multi-Dimensional Analysis

SECURITY
- Intrusion
- Integrity
- Confidentiality

RESOURCE CONSUMPTION
- Bandwidth
- CPU Time
- Power Consumption

ARCHITECTURAL MODEL

REAL-TIME PERFORMANCE
- Deadlock/Starvation
- Latency
- Execution Time/Deadline

- Increased confidentiality requirement
  - change of encryption policy

- Key exchange frequency changes
- Message size increases
  - increases bandwidth utilization
  - increases power consumption

- Increased computational complexity
  - increases WCET
  - increases CPU utilization
  - increases power consumption
  - may increase latency

- Reduced model validation cost due to single source model
High-Level Models

*Insight into desired information flow*
Vehicle Navigator SOA – Logical Data Paths

1. Navigator device send out GPS service probe message via UDP multicast
2. Vehicle Position probe service replies
3. Navigator requests current position
4. Navigator request subscription to GPS

Establish Latency requirements
Latency Analysis

Establish the latency requirement (e.g. flow specification)

- Modeling the logical flow of data, latency property values

Determine actual latency of application components

- Measuring component execution time, actual latency property values

Perform latency analysis

- Summation of required latency values :: Summation actual latency values over specified flows
Flow Specification for DWPS

system nav_client
features
    veh_position: inout data port;
flows
    Nav_client_f1: flow source veh_position_probe{latency:50 ms}, {actual_latency 40ms};
end nav_client;

system VP_comm_drv
features
    nav_request: inout data port my_data;
    vp_request: inout data port my_data;
flows
    VP_comm_f1: flow path nav_request -> vp_request
                   {latency:50 ms}, {actual_latency:40ms};
end VP_comm_drv;

system VP_Probe_Service
Features
flows
    vp_request: inout data port float_type;
    VP_probe_f1: flow sink VP_service_request
                   {latency: 50 ms}, {actual_latency:40ms};
end VP_Probe_Service;

VP_probe_svc_flow: Nav_client_f1->C1->VP_comm_f1->C2->VP_probe_f1; {latency:50ms}
Execution Platform Mapping

Another perspective
Thread view
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GPS Service Thread Model Example

```java
thread GPS_service
features
  GPS_data: inout data port GPS_data.raw;

properties
  Dispatch_Protocol => Periodic;
  Period => 20 ms;
  Compute_Deadline => value(Period);
  Compute_Execution_Time => 20..20 ms;
  Initialize_Deadline => 10 ms;
  Initialize_Execution_Time => 1..1 ms;
end GPS_service;
```
Analysis / Synthesis of Binding

Binding Decisions can be analyzed

- Do we have enough hardware capacity?
  - Structured properly?

- How is performance affected given different scheduling protocols?
  - Supported_Scheduling_PROTOCOLS => type enumeration(Fixed_Priority_Preemptive, <others>);
  - Scheduling_PROTOCOLS => Fixed_Priority_Preemptive;

Binding Decisions can be Automatically Optimized

- To minimize hardware needed

- Analyzed choices can be constrained
  - E.g. Due to Fault-tolerant replicas of failure independence
    - Not_Collocated => reference replica2;
  - Binary code compatibility
    - Allowed_Processor_Binding_Class => (processor powerpc);
Summary

Architecture modeling can be used to create analyzable representations of certain SOA’s.

Incremental Refinement – Each abstraction can be refined

- Real-life development processes

Modeling Concerns of Software - System Integration

- Impact of Runtime architecture

For Analysis / Synthesis

- Multiple and extensible concerns

AADL + OSATE tools set provides a good ‘first step’ to design and analysis

- Open system, academic and industrial community support

Research issues to be explored -

- Scalability
Incremental Refinement

Supports Development Process Decomposition

• Impact on Performance (Budgets)
  – Comparison of budgets and actuals
• Incremental addition of details
  – Without breaking previous decisions

At each step of refinement the model is analyzable
Incremental Refinement (Budgeting)

**Dashboard**
- MIPS Budget => 10 mips
- RAM Budget => 10 MB

**Engine**
- MIPS Budget => 40 mips
- RAM Budget => 10 MB

**Infotainment**
- MIPS Budget => 50 mips
- RAM Budget => 10 MB
- Bandwidth Capacity => 1 Mbps

**SYSTEM**
- MIPS Capacity => 100 Mips
- RAM Capacity => 20 MB
- Power Capacity => 50
- Bandwidth Capacity => 50 Mbps

**SOFTWARE**
- MIPS Budget => 100 mips
- RAM Budget => 10 MB

**HARDWARE**
- MIPS Capacity => 50
- RAM Capacity => 10
- Power Capacity => 50
- Bandwidth Capacity => 50 Mbps