

Practical Typed Lazy Contracts

Olaf Chitil

University of
Kent

10th September
ICFP 2012

Why Contracts?

Specify & dynamically check pre- and post-conditions of functions.

```
data Formula = Imp Formula Formula | And Formula Formula |  
             Or Formula Formula | Not Formula | Atom Char
```

```
cClausalNF = assert (conjNF &right >-> list (list lit)) clausalNF
```

```
clausalNF :: Formula -> [[Formula]]
```

```
clausalNF (And f1 f2) = cClause f1 : clausalNF f2
```

```
clausalNF f           = [cClause f]
```

```
cClause = assert (disj &right >-> list lit) clause
```

```
clause :: Formula -> [Formula]
```

```
clause (Or f1 f2) = f1 : clause f2
```

```
clause lit       = [lit]
```

Challenges → Contributions

- a portable library
- pure Haskell: language semantics unchanged
- simple parametrically polymorphic types

```
list :: Contract a -> Contract [a]
```

- lazy contracts: preserve program meaning

```
eager: assert (list nat) [4,-4] = error "..."
```

```
lazy:  assert (list nat) [4,-4] = [4, error "..."]
```

- a nice algebra of contracts
- when violated, contracts provide information beyond blaming
- simple data-type dependent code
 - easy to write by hand
 - can be derived automatically

Example Contracts

A predicate contract:

```
nat :: Contract Int
nat = prop (>= 0)
```

Attaching contracts to functions:

```
cLength = assert (true >-> nat) length
```

```
cConst = assert (true >-> false >-> true) const
```

Another contract:

```
infinite :: Contract [a]
infinite = pCons true infinite
```

The Contract API

```
type Contract a
```

```
assert :: Contract a -> (a -> a)
```

```
prop :: Flat a => (a -> Bool) -> Contract a
```

```
true  :: Contract a
```

```
false :: Contract a
```

```
(&)    :: Contract a -> Contract a -> Contract a
```

```
(>->) :: Contract a -> Contract b -> Contract (a -> b)
```

```
pNil  :: Contract [a]
```

```
pCons :: Contract a -> Contract [a] -> Contract [a]
```

Cf. Hinze, Jeuring & Löh: Typed contracts for functional programming, FLOPS 2006

A Simple Implementation ...

```
type Contract a = a -> a

assert c = c

prop p x = if p x then x else error "..."/>

```

```
true  = id
false = const (error "...")

c1 & c2      = c2 . c1
pre >-> post = \f -> post . f . pre

pNil []      = []
pNil (_:_)   = error "..."/>

```

```
pCons c cs []      = error "..."/>
pCons c cs (x:xs) = c x : cs xs
```

Cf. Findler & Felleisen: Contracts for higher-order functions, ICFP 2002

For lazy algebraic data types we need disjunction of contracts

```
(|>) :: Contract a -> Contract a -> Contract a
```

for example for

```
nats :: Contract [Int]  
nats = pNil |> pCons nat nats
```

Solution

```
type Contract a = a -> Maybe a
```

```
assert c x = case c x of  
    Just y  -> y  
    Nothing -> error "..."
```

```
(c1 |> c2) x = case c1 x of  
    Nothing -> c2 x  
    Just y   -> Just y
```

```
true x  = Just x  
false x = Nothing
```

```
...
```


An Algebra of Contracts

Same laws as non-strict `&&` and `||`:

$$c_1 \ \& \ (c_2 \ \& \ c_3) \ = \ (c_1 \ \& \ c_2) \ \& \ c_3$$

$$\text{true} \ \& \ c \ = \ c$$

$$c \ \& \ \text{true} \ = \ c$$

$$\text{false} \ \& \ c \ = \ \text{false}$$

...

For function contracts:

$$\text{true} \ \>\!\rightarrow \ \text{true} \ = \ \text{true}$$

$$c_1 \ \>\!\rightarrow \ \text{false} \ = \ c_2 \ \>\!\rightarrow \ \text{false}$$

$$(c_1 \ \>\!\rightarrow \ c_2) \ \& \ (c_3 \ \>\!\rightarrow \ c_4) \ = \ (c_3 \ \& \ c_1) \ \>\!\rightarrow \ (c_2 \ \& \ c_4)$$

$$(c_1 \ \>\!\rightarrow \ c_2) \ \mid\!> \ (c_3 \ \>\!\rightarrow \ c_4) \ = \ c_1 \ \>\!\rightarrow \ c_2$$

Contracts are Projections

Lemma (Partial identity)

```
assert c  $\sqsubseteq$  id
```

Claim (Idempotency)

```
assert c . assert c = assert c
```

Contracts for our Original Example

```
cClausalNF = assert (conjNF & right >-> list (list lit)) clausalNF
```

Contracts:

```
conjNF, disj, lit, atom, right :: Contract Formula
```

```
conjNF = pAnd conjNF conjNF |> disj
```

```
disj    = pOr disj disj |> lit
```

```
lit     = pNot atom |> atom
```

```
atom    = pAtom true
```

```
right = pImp (right & pNotImp) right |>
```

```
  pAnd (right & pNotAnd) right |>
```

```
  pOr (right & pNotOr) right |>
```

```
  pNot right |> pAtom true
```

No general negation, but negated pattern contracts `pNotImp`, ...

Blaming

Implement like eager contracts: blame server or client.

```
cConst = assert (true >-> false >-> true) const
```

true: never blames anybody

false: always blames the *client*

Add Witness Tracing

On violation report a *path* of data constructors:

```
*Main> cClausalNF form
[[Atom 'a'],[Atom 'b',Not
*** Exception: Contract at ContractTest.hs:101:3
violated by
((And _ (Or _ (Not {Not _})))->_)
The client is to blame.
```

- Starting point for debugging.
- Blaming can be wrong: The contract may be wrong.

Derive data-type-dependent code

Derive a contract pattern on demand

```
conjNF = $(p 'And) conjNF conjNF |> disj
disj    = $(p 'Or) disj disj |> lit
lit     = $(p 'Not) atom |> atom
atom    = $(p 'Atom) true
```

or declare

```
$(deriveContracts ''Formula)
```

Use [Template Haskell](#); other generic Haskell systems

- introduce a class context (`Data a`)
- cannot handle functions, e.g. inside data structures

Summary

A pure library

- lazy pattern combinators (`pCons`) and disjunction (`|>`)
- `type Contract a = a -> Maybe a`
- contract violation yields location + blame + witness

hackage.haskell.org/package/Contract

Challenge

A lazy dependent function contract:

```
cTake :: Int -> [a] -> [a]
```

```
cTake =
```

```
  assert (nat >>-> (\n -> lengthAtLeast n >>-> listOfLength n))
  take
```