

# Contracts for Lazy Functional Languages

Olaf Chitil



University of  
**Kent**

# From Assertions to Contracts

- specify & dynamically check properties
- more expressive than static types, less effort than verification
- testing with real values

In functional languages assertion application is a partial identity.

```
assert (prop (>= 0)) 42    ~> 42
assert (prop (>= 0)) (-2) ~> exception
```

# Contracts

Systematic use of assertions as contract between a server and a client, separating their responsibilities.

Function contract:

- pre-condition has to be met by caller of the function
- post-condition has to be met by function itself

```
data Formula = Imp Formula Formula | And Formula Formula |  
              Or Formula Formula | Not Formula | Atom Char
```

```
clausalNF :: Formula -> [[Formula]]
```

```
cClausalNF = assert (conjNF & right >-> list (list lit)) clausalNF
```

For Scheme: [Findler & Felleisen: *Contracts for higher-order functions*, ICFP '02]

# The Challenge for Lazy Languages

According to

[Deggen, Thiemann, Wehr: *The Interaction of Contracts and Laziness*, PEPM '12]

- meaning preservation and
- completeness

are contradictory:

```
ep = assert (pair (prop (== 0)) true) (loop, 42)
main = print (snd ep)
```

# The Challenge for Lazy Languages

According to

[Deggen, Thiemann, Wehr: *The Interaction of Contracts and Laziness*, PEPM '12]

- meaning preservation and
- completeness

are contradictory:

```
ep = assert (pair (prop (== 0)) true) (loop, 42)
main = print (snd ep)
```

My aim: Meaning preservation but weaker completeness.

# High Expressiveness Violates Semantics

Old approach

[Chitil & Huch: *Monadic, prompt lazy assertions in Haskell*, APLAS 2007]

is meaning preserving, **but**

```
let x = assert equal (True,False)
in (fst x, snd x)  $\rightsquigarrow$  exception
```

and

```
(fst (assert equal (True,False)),
  snd (assert equal (True,False)))  $\rightsquigarrow$  (True, False)
```

# High Expressiveness Violates Semantics

Old approach

[Chitil & Huch: *Monadic, prompt lazy assertions in Haskell*, APLAS 2007]

is meaning preserving, **but**

```
let x = assert equal (True,False)
in (fst x, snd x)    ~> (True, error "...") or
                       (error "...", False)
```

and

```
(fst (assert equal (True,False)),
 snd (assert equal (True,False))) ~> (True,
                                       False)
```

Hence: First define semantics, then derive an implementation.

**Part I** Identify contract axioms, derive an implementation.

[Chitil: *A Semantics for Lazy Assertions*, PEPM '11]

**Part II** Consider practical problems for a useful contract library.

[Chitil: *Practical Typed Lazy Contracts*, ICFP 2012]



# Lazy Contracts ...

... have to work with non-strict functions and infinite data structures.

```
fibs :: [Integer]
fibs = assert nats (0 : 1 : zipWith (+) fibs (tail fibs))
```

Need to consider partial values:

<code>assert nats (0:1:⊥)</code>	$\rightsquigarrow$	<code>0:1:⊥</code>
<code>assert nats (0:1:1:⊥)</code>	$\rightsquigarrow$	<code>0:1:1:⊥</code>
<code>assert nats (0:1:1:2:⊥)</code>	$\rightsquigarrow$	<code>0:1:1:2:⊥</code>

Any approximation of an acceptable value has to be accepted!

# Axioms of Contracts

Write  $\langle c \rangle : D \rightarrow D$  for semantics of `assert c`.

Domain  $D$  is directed complete partial order with  $\perp$ .

## Definition

Acceptance set  $\llbracket c \rrbracket := \{v \in D \mid \langle c \rangle v = v\} \subseteq D$ .

## Definition

$c$  is **lazy contract**, if

- 1  $\langle c \rangle : D \rightarrow D$  is a continuous function,
- 2  $c$  is **trustworthy**, that is,  $\langle c \rangle v \in \llbracket c \rrbracket$  for any value  $v$ ,  
(equivalent:  $\langle c \rangle$  is idempotent)
- 3  $\langle c \rangle$  is a **partial identity**, that is,  $\langle c \rangle v \sqsubseteq v$  for any value  $v$ , and
- 4  $\llbracket c \rrbracket$  is a lower set.

## Definition

A function  $p : D \rightarrow D$  on a domain  $D$  is a **projection** if it is

- continuous,
- idempotent, and
- a partial identity.

## Lemma

$c$  is lazy contract  $\Leftrightarrow \langle c \rangle$  is projection and its image is a lower set

cf. [Findler & Blume: *Contracts as pairs of projections*, FLOPS 2006]

## Definition

$$\begin{aligned}\downarrow\{v\} &:= \{v' \mid v' \sqsubseteq v\} \\ A_v &:= \downarrow\{v\} \cap A\end{aligned}$$

## Theorem

$\llbracket c \rrbracket_v$  is an ideal (lower & directed)

$$\langle c \rangle v = \bigsqcup \llbracket c \rrbracket_v$$

## Definition

A set  $A \subseteq D$  is a **lazy domain** if

- $A$  is lower,
- $A$  contains the least upper bound of any directed subset, and
- $A_v = \downarrow\{v\} \cap A$  is directed for all values  $v \in D$ .

## Lemma

If  $c$  is a lazy assertion, then  $\llbracket c \rrbracket$  is a lazy domain.

## Theorem

If  $A$  is a lazy domain, then  $c$  with

$$\langle c \rangle v := \bigsqcup \llbracket c \rrbracket_v$$

is a lazy assertion with  $\llbracket c \rrbracket = A$ .

## Definition

$$\llbracket \text{false} \rrbracket := \{\perp\}$$

$$\llbracket \text{true} \rrbracket := D$$

Derived contract applications

$$\langle \text{false} \rangle v = \sqcup \llbracket \text{false} \rrbracket_v = \sqcup \downarrow \{v\} \cap \{\perp\} = \sqcup \{\perp\} = \perp$$

$$\langle \text{true} \rangle v = \sqcup \llbracket \text{true} \rrbracket_v = \sqcup \downarrow \{v\} \cap D = \sqcup \downarrow \{v\} = v$$

## Definition

$$\llbracket c \& d \rrbracket := \llbracket c \rrbracket \cap \llbracket d \rrbracket$$

**Lemma** Conjunction is commutative and associative and has **true** as neutral element.

**Lemma** (Conjunction equals two contracts)

$$\langle c \& d \rangle v = \langle c \rangle (\langle d \rangle v)$$

# Contract Combinators: Disjunction

Not  $\llbracket c \mid d \rrbracket := \llbracket c \rrbracket \cup \llbracket d \rrbracket$

because  $\llbracket c \mid d \rrbracket_v = (\downarrow\{v\} \cap \llbracket c \rrbracket) \cup (\downarrow\{v\} \cap \llbracket d \rrbracket)$  not directed.

## Definition

$$\llbracket c \mid d \rrbracket := \bigcap \{Y \mid \llbracket c \rrbracket \cup \llbracket d \rrbracket \subseteq Y, Y \text{ lazy domain}\}$$

## Attention!

$$D = \{\perp, (\perp, \perp), (\text{True}, \perp), (\text{False}, \perp), \dots, (\text{False}, \text{False})\}$$

$$\llbracket \text{fstTrue} \rrbracket = D \setminus \{(\text{False}, \perp), (\text{False}, \text{True}), (\text{False}, \text{False})\}$$

$$\llbracket \text{fstTrue} \mid \text{sndTrue} \rrbracket = D$$

$$\llbracket (\text{fstTrue} \ \& \ \text{sndTrue}) \mid (\text{fstFalse} \ \& \ \text{sndFalse}) \rrbracket = D$$

**Lemma** Disjunction is commutative and associative and has `false` as neutral element.



# Bounded Distributive Lattice of Contracts

Lemma (Absorption laws)

$$c \& (c |> d) = c$$

$$c |> (c \& d) = c$$

Lemma (Distributive laws)

$$c |> (d \& e) = (c |> d) \& (c |> e)$$

$$c \& (d |> e) = (c \& d) |> (c \& e)$$

**Theorem** Lazy contracts form a bounded distributive lattice with meet  $\&$ , join  $|>$ , least element **false** and greatest element **true**. The ordering is the subset-relationship on acceptance sets.

Corollary (Idempotency laws)

$$c \& c = c$$

$$c |> c = c$$

# No Negation

Let  $\llbracket c \rrbracket := \{\perp, (\perp, \perp)\}$

$c \& \neg c = \text{false}$  implies  $\llbracket c \rrbracket \cap \llbracket \neg c \rrbracket = \{\perp\}$ .

$\llbracket \neg c \rrbracket$  must be a lower set.

So  $\llbracket \neg c \rrbracket = \{\perp\}$ .

But then  $\llbracket c \mid \neg c \rrbracket = \llbracket c \rrbracket$ .

Contradiction to  $c \mid \neg c = \text{true}$ .

# Deriving an Implementation: Primitive Data Types

Flat domain, i.e.,  $v \sqsubset w$  implies  $v = \perp$ .

Definition (Acceptance set of Boolean property contract)

$$\llbracket \text{prop } \phi \rrbracket := \{\perp\} \cup \{v \mid \phi v = \text{True}\}$$

Derive application of contract:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{prop } \phi \rangle v &= \bigsqcup \downarrow\{v\} \cap \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \\ &= \bigsqcup \{\perp, v\} \cap (\{\perp\} \cup \{w \mid \phi w = \text{True}\}) \\ &= \bigsqcup \{\perp\} \cup (\text{if } \phi v \text{ then } \{v\} \text{ else } \{\}) \\ &= \text{if } \phi v \text{ then } v \text{ else } \perp \end{aligned}$$

Note:  $\{\perp\} \cup \{v \mid \phi v \neq \text{False}\}$  as acceptance set is un-implementable.

# Primitive Data Types: Conjunction & Disjunction

Expected definitions:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{prop } \phi \ \& \ \text{prop } \psi &:= \text{prop } (\lambda x. \phi x \wedge \psi x) \\ \text{prop } \phi \ \mid > \ \text{prop } \psi &:= \text{prop } (\lambda x. \phi x \vee \psi x)\end{aligned}$$

Verify they work:

$$\llbracket \text{prop } \phi \ \& \ \text{prop } \psi \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{prop } \phi \rrbracket \cap \llbracket \text{prop } \psi \rrbracket = \{\perp\} \cup \{v \mid \phi v \wedge \psi v\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\llbracket \text{prop } \phi \ \mid > \ \text{prop } \psi \rrbracket &= \bigcap \{X \mid \llbracket \text{prop } \phi \rrbracket \cup \llbracket \text{prop } \psi \rrbracket \subseteq X, X \text{ lazy domain}\} \\ &= \bigcap \{X \mid \llbracket \text{prop } \phi \rrbracket \cup \llbracket \text{prop } \psi \rrbracket \subseteq X\} \\ &= \llbracket \text{prop } \phi \rrbracket \cup \llbracket \text{prop } \psi \rrbracket = \{\perp\} \cup \{v \mid \phi v \vee \psi v\}\end{aligned}$$

Negation is possible:

$$\neg(\text{prop } \phi) := \text{prop } (\lambda x. \neg(\phi x))$$

# Pattern Contracts for Algebraic Data Types

Recall:

```
data Formula = Imp Formula Formula | And Formula Formula |  
              Or Formula Formula | Not Formula | Atom Char
```

```
clausalNF :: Formula -> [[Formula]]
```

```
cClausalNF = assert (conjNF & right >-> list (list lit)) clausalNF
```

So define

```
lit :: Contract Formula
```

```
lit = pAtom true |> pNot (pAtom true)
```

```
list :: Contract a -> Contract [a]
```

```
list c = pNil |> pCons c (list c)
```

# Deriving an Implementation: Algebraic Data Types

## Definition (Acceptance set for pattern contract)

$$\llbracket \text{pC } c_1 \dots c_n \rrbracket := \{\perp\} \cup \{C \ v_1 \dots v_n \mid v_1 \in \llbracket c_1 \rrbracket \dots v_n \in \llbracket c_n \rrbracket\}$$

Lemma (Conjunction of constructor assertions)

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{pC } c_1 \dots c_n) \ \& \ (\text{pC } d_1 \dots d_n) &= \text{pC } (c_1 \& d_1) \dots (c_n \& d_n) \\ (\text{pC } c_1 \dots c_n) \ \& \ (\text{pC}' \ d_1 \dots d_n) &= \text{false} \qquad \text{if } C \neq C' \end{aligned}$$

Lemma (Disjunction of constructor assertions)

$$(\text{pC } c_1 \dots c_n) \ |> \ (\text{pC } d_1 \dots d_n) = \text{pC } (c_1 |> d_1) \dots (c_n |> d_n)$$

Also if  $C \neq C'$ , then

$$\llbracket (\text{pC } c_1 \dots c_n) \ |> \ (\text{pC}' \ d_1 \dots d_n) \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{pC } c_1 \dots c_n \rrbracket \cup \llbracket \text{pC}' \ d_1 \dots d_n \rrbracket$$

# Contract Representation and Application

Representation of constructor contract

$$p_{C_1} \bar{c}_1 \mid > p_{C_2} \bar{c}_2 \mid > \dots \mid > p_{C_m} \bar{c}_m$$

where  $\{C_1, \dots, C_m\}$  is subset of all data constructors of the type.

Application of a constructor contract

$$\langle p_{C_1} \bar{c}_1 \mid > \dots \mid > p_{C_m} \bar{c}_m \rangle (C \bar{v}) = \begin{cases} C (\langle \bar{c}_j \rangle \bar{v}) & \text{if } C = C_j \\ \perp & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$\langle p_{C_1} \bar{c}_1 \mid > \dots \mid > p_{C_m} \bar{c}_m \rangle \perp = \perp$$

# Algebraic Data Types

## Conjunction

$$\begin{aligned} & (pC_{i_1} \bar{c}_{i_1} \mid > \dots \mid > pC_{i_m} \bar{c}_{i_m}) \& (pC_{j_1} \bar{d}_{j_1} \mid > \dots \mid > pC_{j_l} \bar{d}_{j_l}) \\ = & pC_{k_1} (\bar{c}_{k_1} \& \bar{d}_{k_1}) \mid > \dots \mid > pC_{k_o} (\bar{c}_{k_o} \& \bar{d}_{k_o}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{k_1, \dots, k_o\} = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \cap \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$

## Disjunction

$$\begin{aligned} & (pC_{i_1} \bar{c}_{i_1} \mid > \dots \mid > pC_{i_m} \bar{c}_{i_m}) \mid > (pC_{j_1} \bar{d}_{j_1} \mid > \dots \mid > pC_{j_l} \bar{d}_{j_l}) \\ = & pC_{k_1} \bar{z}_{k_1} \mid > \dots \mid > pC_{k_o} \bar{z}_{k_o} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{k_1, \dots, k_o\} = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \cup \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$

$$z_{k_s} = \begin{cases} \bar{c}_{k_s} \mid > \bar{d}_{k_s} & \text{if } k_s \in \{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \cap \{j_1, \dots, j_l\} \\ \bar{c}_{k_s} & \text{if } k_s \in \{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \setminus \{j_1, \dots, j_l\} \\ \bar{d}_{k_s} & \text{if } k_s \in \{j_1, \dots, j_l\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \end{cases}$$



# What about Function Types?

Function contract  $c \multimap d$

Eager definition of function contract application:

$$\langle c \multimap d \rangle \delta = \lambda x. \langle d \rangle (\delta(\langle c \rangle x))$$

But

$$\llbracket c \multimap d \rrbracket = \{ \delta \mid \langle d \rangle \circ \delta \circ \langle c \rangle = \delta \}$$

is **not a lower set!**

Maybe need to relax axiom for function types?

# From Theory to Practice

## Have

- pure Haskell: language semantics unchanged; portable library
- lazy contracts: preserve program meaning

eager: `assert (list nat) [4,-4] = error "..."`

lazy: `assert (list nat) [4,-4] = [4, error "..."]`

- a nice algebra of contracts

## Still Want

- function type contracts
- simple parametrically polymorphic types
  - `(&), (|>) :: Contract a -> Contract a -> Contract a`
- simple data-type dependent code
  - easy to write by hand
  - can be derived automatically
- when violated, a contract provides information beyond blaming

# The Contract API

```
type Contract a
```

```
assert :: Contract a -> (a -> a)
```

```
prop :: Flat a => (a -> Bool) -> Contract a
```

```
true  :: Contract a
```

```
false :: Contract a
```

```
(&)    :: Contract a -> Contract a -> Contract a
```

```
(>->) :: Contract a -> Contract b -> Contract (a -> b)
```

```
pNil  :: Contract [a]
```

```
pCons :: Contract a -> Contract [a] -> Contract [a]
```

Cf. [Hinze, Jeuring & Löh: Typed contracts for functional programming, FLOPS 2006]

# A Simple Implementation ...

```
type Contract a = a -> a

assert c = c

prop p x = if p x then x else error "..."/>

```

`true = id`  
`false = const (error "...")`

`c1 & c2 = c2 . c1`  
`pre >-> post = \f -> post . f . pre`

`pNil [] = []`  
`pNil (_:_) = error "..."`

`pCons c cs [] = error "..."`  
`pCons c cs (x:xs) = c x : cs xs`

Cf. [Findler & Felleisen: Contracts for higher-order functions, ICFP 2002]

We need disjunction of contracts for lazy algebraic data types

```
(|>) :: Contract a -> Contract a -> Contract a
```

for example for

```
nats :: Contract [Int]  
nats = pNil |> pCons nat nats
```

# Solution

```
type Contract a = a -> Maybe a
```

```
assert c x = case c x of  
    Just y  -> y  
    Nothing -> error "..."
```

```
(c1 |> c2) x = case c1 x of  
    Nothing -> c2 x  
    Just y   -> Just y
```

```
true x  = Just x  
false x = Nothing
```

```
...
```

# An Algebra of Contracts

Same laws as non-strict `&&` and `||` (**not** commutative):

$$c_1 \ \& \ (c_2 \ \& \ c_3) \ = \ (c_1 \ \& \ c_2) \ \& \ c_3$$

$$\text{true} \ \& \ c \ = \ c$$

$$c \ \& \ \text{true} \ = \ c$$

$$\text{false} \ \& \ c \ = \ \text{false}$$

...

For function contracts:

$$\text{true} \ \>\!\rightarrow \ \text{true} \ = \ \text{true}$$

$$c_1 \ \>\!\rightarrow \ \text{false} \ = \ c_2 \ \>\!\rightarrow \ \text{false}$$

$$(c_1 \ \>\!\rightarrow \ c_2) \ \& \ (c_3 \ \>\!\rightarrow \ c_4) \ = \ (c_3 \ \& \ c_1) \ \>\!\rightarrow \ (c_2 \ \& \ c_4)$$

$$(c_1 \ \>\!\rightarrow \ c_2) \ \mid\!> \ (c_3 \ \>\!\rightarrow \ c_4) \ = \ c_1 \ \>\!\rightarrow \ c_2$$

# Contracts are Projections

## Lemma (Partial identity)

`assert c`  $\sqsubseteq$  `id`

## Claim (Idempotency)

`assert c . assert c` = `assert c`



# Contracts for our Original Example

```
cClausalNF = assert (conjNF & right >-> list (list lit)) clausalNF
```

Contracts:

```
conjNF, disj, lit, atom, right :: Contract Formula
```

```
conjNF = pAnd conjNF conjNF |> disj
```

```
disj    = pOr disj disj |> lit
```

```
lit     = pNot atom |> atom
```

```
atom    = pAtom true
```

```
right = pImp (right & pNotImp) right |>
```

```
  pAnd (right & pNotAnd) right |>
```

```
  pOr (right & pNotOr) right |>
```

```
  pNot right |> pAtom true
```

No general negation, but negated pattern contracts `pNotImp`, ...

# Blaming

Implement like eager contracts: blame server or client.

```
cConst = assert (true >-> false >-> true) const
```

`true`: never blames anybody

`false`: always blames the *client*

Different from [Findler & Blume: *Contracts as pairs of projections*, FLOPS 2006]

# Add Witness Tracing

On violation report a *path* of data constructors:

```
*Main> cClausalNF form
[[Atom 'a'],[Atom 'b',Not
*** Exception: Contract at ContractTest.hs:101:3
violated by
((And _ (Or _ (Not {Not _})))->_)
The client is to blame.
```

- Starting point for debugging.
- Blaming can be wrong: The contract may be wrong.

# Derive data-type-dependent code

Derive a contract pattern on demand

```
conjNF = $(p 'And) conjNF conjNF |> disj
disj   = $(p 'Or) disj disj |> lit
lit    = $(p 'Not) atom |> atom
atom   = $(p 'Atom) true
```

or declare

```
$(deriveContracts ''Formula)
```

Use [Template Haskell](#); other generic Haskell systems

- introduce a class context (`Data a`)
- cannot handle functions, e.g. inside data structures

## Lazy Contracts

- Need lazy pattern combinators (`pCons`) and disjunction (`|>`).
- Pattern assertions similar to algebraic data types; subtypes!
- Laziness restricts expressibility!

## Semantics

- Few axioms: continuous, trustworthy, partial identity, lower set.
- Acceptance sets `[[c]]` are lazy domains, subdomains.
- Algebra of contracts: bounded distributive lattice.

## Practice

- `type Contract a = a -> Maybe a`
- Portable library: [hackage.haskell.org/package/Contract](http://hackage.haskell.org/package/Contract)

## Future

- Dependent function contracts?
- Contracts to express non-strictness properties?

# Example Contracts

A predicate contract:

```
nat :: Contract Int
nat = prop (>= 0)
```

Expressing non-strictness of a function:

```
cLength = assert (list false >-> nat) length
```

```
cConst = assert (true >-> false >-> true) const
```

A list is not finite:

```
infinite :: Contract [a]
infinite = pCons true infinite
```