University of Abertay Dundee School of Computing and Engineering Systems Post-Assessment Verification Report

Module tutors fill in top, give to moderator along with the marked assessments and the marking scheme.	
Module Code: 3095/A Module Title: DATABOSE DESIGN & INDICEMENTATION	
Name & Type of Assessment Unit(s): FORTHOUSE Module tutor(s): Moderator: Awwaktin	
Session: 2009/10 Semester: Diet: N	Main Resit
Explanatory notes ¹ (e.g. describe how moderation of marking was carried out for each piece of assessment)	
•	
Moderators should use one of these forms to summarise their commentary on the marked assessments	
associated with the module. If moderating units at different times, use separate forms. Give brief answers to all the questions below, and date them. If necessary, revisit the assessments after reconsideration by	
the module tutor, and record any new commentary (dated). If agreement with the module tutor cannot be reached, the matter should be referred to the divisional leader.	
Todalica, inc matter should be related to the	
Date on which material was first submitted for moderation:	9/3/10
Is there evidence that each part of the assessments has been marked? For example, on each examination script	
is there evidence that every page has been seen by the marker?	
Is there a clear indication of the marks/grades assigned to students' work?	
as the earliest of the marke, grades designed to state in	Yes
Is students' work marked according to the marking schedule?	· les
is students. Work marked according to the marking schedule:	Tox
Is students' work marked consistently (i.e. did the marker(s) make	a similar decisions about similar answers)?
Is students' work marked consistently (i.e. did the marker(s) make similar decisions about similar answers)?	
Have the marks/grades for each student been added/graded corre	ctlv2
have the marks/grades for each student been added/graded corre	Les Les
For coursework: Has constructive feedback been given?	
	Ye>
Moderator: Do you require to revisit these assessments?	
	\sim \circ
Module Tutor's reply to moderator's comments	
4	
Final Approval Moderator's Signature Aucualia	Date 11 3 2010
Λ. Λ. Δ.	Date 12/3/2010
Module tutor's Signature	Date
Copies of all completed forms must be lodged in the module box.	
Module tutor: remember to enter date and type of feedback given to students in MOSY.	

¹ The **minimum** requirements for moderation of marking are:

Coursework assignments weighted as up to 5 module credits have had sample assignments scrutinised by at least one other suitably experienced member of staff.

All coursework assignments at Levels 9 and above weighted as more than 5 module credits have been subjected to sample double marking and all coursework assignments at Level 9 and above weighted as more than 10 credits have been double marked.

All examination scripts have been scrutinised by a second member of academic staff to ensure that all students' work has been marked and that no errors have occurred in summation of the marks. [This must be indicated on every script].