

Implementation of Partial Evaluation in Stratego/XT

Eelco Visser & Karina Olmos & Martin Bravenboer

Center for Software Technology, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

<http://www.stratego-language.org>

Workshop on Functional Refactoring

Canterbury

February 10, 2004

Program Transformation by Term Rewriting

- Programs can be represented as trees (or terms)
- Transformation corresponds to tree (or term) rewriting
- Rewrite rules correspond to basic transformations (derived from equations over expressions)
- Rewrite rules compose into rewrite system
- Rewrite engine automatically applies rules

Limitations of Pure Term Rewriting

- Lack of control over application of rules
 - Non-termination
 - Non-confluence
 - Common solution: encode with extra rules
 - Stratego: programmable rewriting strategies
- Context-free nature of rewrite rules
 - Example: inlining, constant propagation
 - Common solution: encode with extra rules
 - Stratego: scoped dynamic rewrite rules

Stratego/XT

- Stratego: language for program transformation
 - rewrite rules
 - programmable strategies
 - generic traversal
 - scoped dynamic rewrite rules
 - concrete object syntax
- XT: bundle of tools for program transformation
 - Stratego: library, compiler, interpreter
 - SDF: syntax definition
 - GPP: pretty-printing
 - ATerms: exchange format
 - XTC: transformation tool composition

Applications (Transformations)

- Bound variable renaming (RULE'01)
- Function inlining (RULE'01)
- Dead code elimination (RULE'01)
- Interpretation (LDTA'02)
- Constant propagation (WRS'02)
- Instruction selection (RTA'02)
- Partial evaluation: strategy specialization (WRS'01), type specialization (SCAM'03)
- Loop optimizations
- Simplification in functional compiler
- Optimizations in Stratego compiler

Applications (Systems)

- Tiger compiler
- Octave compiler
- CodeBoost (C++ transformation system)
- Helium simplifier
- AutoBayes optimizer
- Stratego compiler
- XT tools

Application: Partial Evaluation

- Partial evaluation
 - Function specialization
 - Binding-time annotations
 - Binding-time analysis
- Demonstration
- Constant folding
 - rewrite rules, strategies, concrete syntax
- Unfolding
 - dynamic rules, undefining rules
- Function specialization
- Binding-time annotation

Function Specialization

```
let function power(x : int, n : int) : int =  
    if n = 0 then 1  
    else if even(n) then square(power(x, n / 2))  
    else x * power(x, n - 1)  
in ... power(z, 5) ... end
```

Function specialization

```
let function power5(a : int) : int = a * power4(a)  
    function power4(l : int) : int = square(power2(l))  
    function power2(v : int) : int = square(power1(v))  
    function power1(c : int) : int = c * power0(c)  
    function power0(j : int) : int = 1  
in ... power5(z) ... end
```

Specialization with transition compression

```
... z * square(square(z * 1)) ...
```


Binding-Time Analysis

```
let function power(x : int, n : int) : int =
  if n = 0
  then 1
  else if even(n)
    then square(power(x, n / 2))
    else x * power(x, n - 1)
in ... power(z, 5) ... end
```

Binding-time annotation indicates `~static` and `<dynamic>` code

```
let function power_sd(x: int, n : <int>) : <int> =
  <if n = ~0
  then ~1
  else if even_d(n)
    then square_d(power_sd(~x, n / ~2))
    else ~x * power_sd(~x, n - ~1)>
in ... <power_sd(<z>, ~5)> ... end
```

Demonstration

- Tiger transformation tools
 - run, pretty-print, expand-imports
 - specialize, bta, ...
- Interactive environment
 - command-line tools called from XEmacs menu
 - whole program transformations
- Examples
 - power
 - ackermann
 - string/term pattern matching
 - term rewriting

Constant Folding

```
if 5 = 0 then 1
else if even(5)
  then square(power(x, 5 / 2))
  else x * power(x, 5 - 1)
```

⇒

```
x * power(x, 4)
```

Constant Folding: Rewrite Rules

rules

EvalBinOp :

```
|[ +(i, j) ]| -> |[ k ]|  
where <add>(i, j) => k
```

EvalIf :

```
|[ if i then e1 else e2 ]| -> e2  
where <eq>(i,0)
```

EvalIf :

```
|[ if i then e1 else e2 ]| -> e1  
where <not(eq)> (i, 0)
```

Concrete vs Abstract Syntax

rules

EvalBinOp :

BinOp(PLUS(), Int(i), Int(j)) -> Int(k)
where <add>(i, j) => k

EvalIf() :

If(Int(i), e1, e2) -> e2
where <eq>(i, 0)

EvalIf() :

If(Int(i), e1, e2) -> e1
where <not(eq)>(i, 0)

Rewriting Strategy

Standard rewrite engines

- **exhaustively** apply **all** rules

Stratego

- select rewrite rules to apply
- apply with appropriate strategy
- strategies are user-definable

Constant Folding Strategy

An exhaustive strategy for constant folding

```
strategies
  constant-fold =
    innermost(
      EvalBinOp <+ EvalRelOp <+ EvalIf
    )
```

Single bottom-up pass is sufficient for constant folding

```
strategies
  constant-fold =
    bottomup(try(
      EvalBinOp <+ EvalRelOp <+ EvalIf
    ))
```

Definition of Strategies

strategies

```
bottomup(s) =  
  rec x(all(x); s)
```

```
topdown(s) =  
  rec x(s; all(x))
```

```
downup(s1, s2) =  
  rec x(s1; all(x); s2)
```

```
oncetd(s) =  
  rec x(s <+ one(x))
```

```
alltd(s) =  
  rec x(s <+ all(x))
```

```
innermost(s) =  
  rec x(bottomup(try(s; x)))
```


Constant Folding only Static Code

```
reduce-static =  
    ?Dynamic(<reduce-dynamic>  
  
    <+ If(reduce-static, id, id); EvalIf; reduce-static  
  
    <+ all(reduce-static)  
      ; try(EvalBinOp <+ EvalRelOp <+ EvalInt)  
  
reduce-dynamic =  
    ?Static(<reduce-static>  
  
    <+ all(reduce-dynamic)
```

Substituting Variables

ReduceLetVar :

```
[[ let var x ta := e1 in e2 end ]] -> [[ e2 ]]  
where <is-value + Var(id)> e1  
    ; rules(ReduceVar : [[ x ]] -> [[ e1 ]])
```

reduce-static =

...

<+ ReduceVar

```
<+ Let([VarDec(id, id, reduce-static)], reduce-static)  
    ; ({| ReduceVar : ReduceLetVar; reduce-dynamic |}  
      <+ Let(id, reduce-dynamic))
```

<+ ...

Unfolding Function Calls

```
DeclareReduceCall =
  ?fdec@[ [ function f(x*) ta = e ] |
  ; rules(
    UnfoldCall :
      |[ f(a*) ] | -> |[ let d* in e end ] |
      where <zip(\(FArg|[ x : tid ] |, e) -> |[ var x : tid := e ] | \)>
          (x*, a*) => d*
    )

reduce-static =
  ...

<+ Let([FunDecs(map(DeclareReduceCall))], reduce-static)

<+ Call(id, map(reduce-static)); UnfoldCall

<+ ...
```

Function Specialization (1)

Replace function declarations by specialized function declarations

```
reduce-dynamic =  
  ...  
  <+ Let([FunDecs(map(DeclareReduceCall))], reduce-dynamic)  
      ; Let([FunDecs(map(?FunDec(<id>,_,_,_); bagof-Specialization); concat)], id)  
  ...  
  
DeclareReduceCall =  
  ?fdec@[ [ function f(x*) ta = e ] |  
  ; extend rules(  
    Specialization :  
      f -> Undefined  
  )  
  ; ...
```

Function Specialization (2)

Generate specializations when dynamic function call is encountered

```
reduce-dynamic =  
  ...  
  <+ Call(id, map(Static(reduce-static) <+ !Dynamic(<reduce-dynamic>)))  
    ; ReduceCallDynamic  
  ...  
  
ReduceCallDynamic :  
  |[ f(a1*) ]| -> |[ g(a3*) ]|  
  where <dummy-dynamic-args> a1* => a2*  
        ; <RetrieveSpecialization <+ GenerateSpecialization> |[ f(a2*) ]| => g  
        ; <select-dynamic-args> a1* => a3*
```

Generate Specialized Function

```
GenerateSpecialization :
  |[ f(a1*) ]| -> g
  where
    <map({\|[ <e> ]| -> |[ <x> ]| where new => x\} <+ ...)> a1* => a2*
    ; <UnfoldCall> |[ f(a2*) ]| => e
    ; new => g

; <reduce-static> e => e'
; ... => x* ; ... => ta
; extend override rules(
  Specialization :
    f -> |[ function g(x*) ta = e' ]|
)
```

Generate Specialized Function and Memoize it

```
GenerateSpecialization :
  |[ f(a1*) ]| -> g
  where
    <map({\|[ <e> ]| -> |[ <x> ]| where new => x\} <+ ...)> a1* => a2*
    ; <UnfoldCall> |[ f(a2*) ]| => e
    ; new => g
    ; <dummy-dynamic-args> a1* => a3*
    ; rules(
      RetrieveSpecialization :
        |[ f(a3*) ]| -> g
      )
    ; <reduce-static> e => e'
    ; ... => x* ; ... => ta
    ; extend override rules(
      Specialization :
        f -> |[ function g(x*) ta = e' ]|
      )
```

Reduce Static Code

```
reduce-static =
  ?Dynamic(<reduce-dynamic>)

  <+ ReduceVar

  <+ Let([VarDec(id, id, reduce-static)], reduce-static)
    ; ({| ReduceVar : ReduceLetVar; reduce-dynamic |}
      <+ Let(id, reduce-dynamic))

  <+ Let([FunDecs(map(DeclareReduceCall))], reduce-static)

  <+ Call(id, map(Dynamic(reduce-dynamic) <+ !Static(<reduce-static>)))
    ; reduce-call-static(reduce-static)

  <+ If(reduce-static, id, id); EvalIf; reduce-static

  <+ all(reduce-static)
    ; try(EvalBinOp <+ EvalRelOp <+ EvalInt)
```


Reduce Dynamic Code

```
reduce-dynamic =
  ?Static(<reduce-static>)

  <+ ReduceVar

  <+ Let([VarDec(id, id, reduce-dynamic)], reduce-dynamic)
    ; ({| ReduceVar : ReduceLetVar; reduce-dynamic |}
       <+ Let(id, reduce-dynamic))

  <+ Let([FunDecs(map(DeclareReduceCall))], reduce-dynamic)

  <+ Call(id, map(Static(reduce-static) <+ Dynamic(reduce-dynamic)
                 <+ !Dynamic(<reduce-dynamic>)))
    ; ReduceCallDynamic

  <+ all(reduce-dynamic)
```

Binding-Time Analysis

binding-time analysis 'by transformation'

```
BindingTime :  
  |[ bo(~e1, ~e2) ]| -> |[ ~[bo(e1, e2)] ]|
```

```
BindingTime :  
  |[ bo(e1, e2) ]| -> |[ <bo(e1', e2')> ]|  
  where <map1(UnDynamic)> [e1, e2] => [e1', e2']
```

```
BindingTime :  
  |[ if ~e1 then e2 else e3 ]| -> |[ ~[if e1 then e2' else e3'] ]|  
  where <try(UnStatic)> e2 => e2'  
        ; <try(UnStatic)> e3 => e3'
```

```
BindingTime :  
  |[ if <e1> then e2 else e3 ]| -> |[ <if e1 then e2' else e3'> ]|  
  where <try(UnDynamic)> e2 => e2'  
        ; <try(UnDynamic)> e3 => e3'
```

Conclusions

- Separation of rules and strategy
 - develop transformation rules ‘stand-alone’
 - strategy combines rules
 - mix of traversal specific for datatype and generic traversal
 - avoid mixing rules and strategy
- Stratego/XT tools can be used interactively!
 - basic interaction quite easy
- Future work
 - partial evaluator for imperative language (const propagation)
 - more sophisticated interaction with emacs
 - refactoring: open up whole program transformations